

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/945,318 | 08/31/2001 | Wayne I Knigge | 5328 | 1343 |
| 75 | 590 06/24/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| John A. O'Too General Mills, I | - - | | MADSEN, F | OBERT A |
| Number One General Mills Blvd. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| PO Box 1113 | | | 1761 | |
| Minneapolis, MN 55440 | | | DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 7. |
|-------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------|
| , . | Advisory Action | 09/945,318 | KNIGGE ET AL. | N. |
| | Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Robert Madsen | 1761 | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
| There final re condit | REPLY FILED 04 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this appliced in the control of | cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in | n |
| | PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) [| | | | |
| b) L | ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Itemsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adventure of the period of the may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adventure of this Adventure of the period of the period of this Adventure of this Adventure of this Adventure of the period of this Adventure of this Adv | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEF | > |
| nave be 37 CFR (b) abov | tensions of this may be obtained that of CFR 1.130(a). The date on filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened re, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three modulatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in | efee. The appropriate extension fee the final Office action, or (2) as set | e under Forth in |
| | A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of | period set forth in of the appeal. | |
| 2.🖂 | The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) | $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furthe | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | |
| (b) | they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | pelow); | | |
| (c) | they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | erially reducing or simplifyi | ng the |
| (d) | they present additional claims without cancel | ing a corresponding number of t | finally rejected claims. | |
| | NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | |
| 3. | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec | tion(s): | | |
| 4. | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed amend | dment |
| 5. | The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NOT place | e the |
| 6.□ | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | у |
| 7.🛛 | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | |
| | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| | Claim(s) allowed: none. | | | |
| | Claim(s) objected to: 4,45,48-50,63 and 64. | | | |
| | Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-39,41-43,46,47,51-62 and 65</u> | 5-67. | | |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . | | | |
| 8.[] | The drawing correction filed on is a) app | roved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | |
| | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | | | |
| | Other: | , | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/945,318

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment includes limitations in independent claim 1 (e.g. "a laminate, a coextrusion of at least two materials or a combination thereof", "non-free flowing puffed cereal based", and "water activity between about 0.2 and 0.4") that have not been considered in combination and would require further consideration and search.

MILTON 1. CANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700